

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING

INTERNATIONAL TRELIMINARY EX	CAMINING			<b>《</b> 人名 同特許
To: YOON, Dong-Yol	V		PCT	2005. 3.
9th Fl., Yosam Bldg., 648-23 Yoksam-do Seoul 135-748, Republic of Korea	ong, Kangnam-ku,		WRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66)	242
		Date of mailing (day/month/year) 23	MARCH 2005 (23.03.20	005)
Applicant's or agent's file reference PCT-031226			thin 1 months above date of mailing	from
International application No. PCT/KR2003/001889	International filing date 16 SEPTEMBER 2	2003 (16.09.2003)	Priority date(day/months) 26 DECEMBER 2002 (2	
International Patent Classification (IPC) of IPC7 C07J 17/00	or both national classifica	tion and IPC		
Applicant				
AMOREPACIFIC CORPORA	FION et al			
IV Lack of unity of inventicular Lack of unity of Reasoned statement under citations and explanation citations. VIII Certain defects in the invention control Lack of unity of inventions and citation control Lack of unity of inventions and citations and citation control Lack of unity of invention and citations are citations and citations and citations and citations are citations.	pinion with regard to nove ion ler Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with re ns supporting such statem d nternational application the international applicat	s: elty, inventive step and i egard to novelty, inventi ent	Preliminary Examining Andustrial applicability	
3. The applicant is hereby invited to rep				
When? See the time limit indicate to grant an extension, see How? By submitting a written re	Rule 66.2(d)	•	n of that time limit, reque	•
For the form and the lange Also For an additional opportu For an examiner's obligati	uage of the amendments, nity to submit amendmention to consider amendmen	see Rules 66.8 and 66.9 its, see Rule 66.4. its and/or arguments, see		
For an informal communi If no reply is filed, the international			ed on the basis of this opin	nion.

4. The final date by which the international preliminary

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/KR
Korean Intellectual Property Office
920 Dunsan-dong, Seo-gu, Daejeon 302-701,
Republic of Korea

examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 16 APRIL 2005 (16.04.2005)

Facsimile No. 82-42-472-7140

Authorized officer

PARK, JEONG UNG

Telephone No. 82-42-481-8159





# WRITTEN OPINION

International aplication No.

PCT/KR2003/001889

1.	Basis	of the opinion
1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*
		the international application as originally filed
İ		the description:
		pages as originally filed pages
		pages , filed with the letter of , filed with the demand
		the claims:
		pages as originally filed
		pages, as amended (together with any statment) under Article 19
		pages, filed with the demand pages, filed with the letter of
	Ш	the drawings:  pages
		pages
	_	pages, filed with the letter of
		the sequence listing part of the description:
		pages
		pages , filed with the letter of
2.	With	regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which
	The	nternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  se elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language English which is
	Г	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
	ائينا	the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/
	Ш	or 55.3).
3.	W.	
٥.	draw	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was non the basis of the sequence listing:
	$\Box$	contained inthe international application in printed form.
	H	•
	$\exists$	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
	H	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international applicationas as filed has been furinshed.
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has
	_	been furnished.
4		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
٦.	LJ	
		the description, pages
		the claims, Nos.
5		the drawings,sheet/fig
٠,	П	This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go
		beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box(Rule 70.2(c)).
*	Repla	Coment sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in which have been furnished to the receiving Office in
	in this	cement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to sopinion as "originally filed."



#### WRITTEN OPINION

International aplication No.

PCT/KR2003/001889

V.	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

Novelty (N)	Claims 1-3	YES
	Claims (4)	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-3	<u>Y</u> ES
	Claims	N0
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-4	YES
	Claims	NO

## 2. Citations and explanations

본 발명은 20-O-beta-D-글루코피라노실-20(S)-프로토파낙사디올 (화합물 K) 로 이루어진 히알루론산 생성측진 제를 유효성분으로 하는 노화방지제에 관한 것이다.

D1: KR 2003-65273 A (II Hwa Co., Ltd.) 06 Aug. 2003

D2: KR 2003-80429 A (Pacific Corporation) 17 Oct. 2003

D3: KR 2003-60017 A (Pacific Corporation) 12 Jul. 2003

D4: KR 2003-60018 A (Pacific Corporation) 12 Jul. 2003

### 1. 신규성 및 진보성

본원발명의 특허청구범위 제4항은 화합물 K를 함유하는 피부노화 방지제를 청구하고 있으나 문헌 D1-D4에는 동일 화합물 K를 사용한 피부노화 방지제를 청구하고 있어 본원발명은 선행기술 D1-D4와 동일한 발명으로 인정됨 [PCT Article 33(2)]. 본원발명의 특허청구범위 제1항 내지 제3항은 신규정 및 진보성이 있는 것으로 인정됨 [PCT Article 33(2), PCT Article 33(3)].

2. 산업상 이용가능성 인정됨 [PCT Article 33(4)].